

700 South Flower Street, Suite 2995, Los Angeles, CA 90017 T: 310-581-0900 | F: 310-581-0910 | www.hraadvisors.com

MEMORANDUM

То:	Craig Weber & Priya Mehendale, Los Angeles Department of City Planning
From:	HR&A Advisors, Inc.
Date:	August 2, 2021
Re:	Targeted Financial Feasibility Analysis for the Hollywood Community Plan Implementation Overlay (CPIO) District

This memorandum summarizes HR&A Advisors, Inc.'s (HR&A) analysis of the financial feasibility of alternate affordable housing and public open space requirements for the proposed Hollywood Community Plan Implementation Overlay (Hollywood CPIO) District Community Benefits Program (the "Program"). For this assignment, HR&A tested four multifamily rental prototypes within three unique Hollywood CPIO market areas to determine whether certain percentages and income levels of affordable "set-aside units" would be financially feasible given the FAR bonus provided in the Program. HR&A also tested one office prototype to determine whether the percentages of parcel area specified in the Publicly Accessible Open Amenity Space (PAOAS) incentive for Non-Residential Projects would be financially feasible given the FAR bonus provided. The following pages present a summary of HR&A Advisors, Inc.'s preliminary financial feasibility findings.

Methodology

To test the different percentages of open space and affordability levels, HR&A utilized a detailed Residual Land Value (RLV) Model like the one that we developed for our supplemental analysis for the proposed incentive zoning program in the draft Downtown Community Plan update in 2020. Our RLV model accounts for total development costs, net operating income and net capitalized project value applied to prototypical multifamily development projects to solve for the amount a well-informed, capable developer could afford to pay for land and earn a market-responsive return on investment. For this analysis, HR&A updated the RLV Model with current market-rate rents, construction costs and land values in the Hollywood CPIO area, as well as updated affordable rents, reflecting the City's Housing & Community Investment Department (HCIDLA) Schedule VI 2020 Income and Rent Limits tables.

We also added new functionality to the RLV Model to enable dynamic testing of prototypes at varying FARs, with different rent levels (i.e., Extremely Low Income, Very Low Income, Low Income, and market rate), and with public open space at street level for commercial prototypes. HR&A's financial model for this phase of analysis considers affordable units as a percentage of total units in a project, including any density bonus units, rather than a percentage of the "base" units before considering the density bonus, consistent with the Transit Oriented Communities (TOC) program.

In coordination with Los Angeles Department of City Planning (LADCP) staff, we reviewed the specifications of several recently-completed or under construction multifamily and commercial office projects within the

Hollywood CPIO. We used this information, as well as a list of opportunity sites provided by LADCP to prepare prototypes consistent with pipeline projects and proposed Hollywood CPIO development standards.

As a result, HR&A developed the physical parameters of two multi-family apartment prototypes (Large and Small Sites) in the Hollywood CPIO's Regional Center and two of its Corridor submarket areas, as well as one commercial office prototype in the Regional Center. These included:

1. Regional Center: Small Housing Site

- Site: 0.6 Acres
- Base FAR: 4.0 (see caveats below)
- Max FAR: 6.75
- Height Limit: N/A

2. Regional Center: Large Commercial Site

- Site: 1.6 Acres
- Base FAR: 4.0
- Max FAR: 6.75
- Height Limit: N/A

3. Regional Center: Large Housing Site

- Site: 1.0 acres
- Base FAR: 4.0
- Max FAR: 6.75
- Height Limit: N/A
- 4. Corridors C4 ("East"): Small Housing Site
 - Site: 0.6 Acres
 - Base FAR: 1.5
 - Max FAR: 3.0
 - Height Limit: N/A
- 5. Corridors C2/C5 ("West"): Small Housing Site
 - Site: 0.6 Acres
 - Base FAR: 1 or 1.5 (varies)
 - Max FAR: 3.0
 - Height Limit: Varies (52 77 feet)

We calibrated the RLV Model to ensure that residual land value results aligned with recent land purchase transactions and applicable development standards. HR&A then tested the FAR bonuses specified in the Hollywood CPIO and associated set-aside unit and open space requirements, using a threshold of residual land value that is greater than 10 percent than base residual land value absent these requirements or 10 percent over prevailing land costs (whichever was higher) to determine financial feasibility. This threshold is intended to incentivize developers to utilize additional FAR (in addition to incremental profit associated with a larger project) and to account for market and construction cost fluctuations over time.

For each of the housing prototypes, HR&A tested prototypes to evaluate the financial feasibility of certain policy parameters.

- Feasibility of Hollywood CPIO FAR Bonus and Set-Aside Units consistent with different TOC Tiers. As specified in the Hollywood CPIO, we first tested TOC Tier 3 affordable unit set-aside requirements. For prototypes where Tier 3 requirements were not feasible, we subsequently tested Tier 2 and Tier 1 affordable requirements. For Prototype 5 (West Small Housing Site), which is located in a submarket with strong market conditions, we also tested TOC Tier 4 requirements. These requirements are:
 - TOC Tier 4: 11% Extremely Low Income (ELI), 15% Very Low Income (VLI) or 25% Lower Income (LI)
 - TOC Tier 3: 10% ELI, 14% VLI or 23% LI
 - TOC Tier 2: 9% ELI, 12% VLI or 21% LI
 - TOC Tier 1: 8% ELI, 11% VLI or 20% LI

All of these prototypes were assumed to be exempt from the Affordable Housing Linkage Fee, as TOC Tier 1 set-asides meet, and all other Tiers exceed, the percentages of set-aside units necessary for exemption from the Linkage Fee.

2. Feasibility of Lower Base FARs to Incentivize Bonus Utilization/Set-Aside Unit Provision. For Prototypes 1 and 3, we also tested base FARs of 3.0 and 2.0 to determine whether the incremental value provided (i.e., for additional development capacity between 3.0 FAR and the max of 6.75) could support set-aside unit requirements.

For the single commercial site, **HR&A tested feasibility of the Publicly Accessible Open Amenity Space** (**PAOAS**) incentives for Non-Residential Projects. This analysis assumed that a prototype utilizing the PAOAS incentives would incur an increase in construction costs due to the smaller floorplates and increased height necessary to achieve the same FAR, as well as cost for construction of high-quality open space. For this analysis, we calculated the percentage of parcel area that could be feasibly dedicated as public open space per incremental 1.0 FAR bonus.

Financial Feasibility Results

The tables on the following pages detail the results of HR&A's testing of each prototype, with additional commentary included where necessary to explain the results of our analysis of various sensitivities (e.g., where lower TOC Tiers and/or base FARs are needed). Additional detail is provided in the Appendix tables for each Prototype and combination of TOC Tiers/FARs included in the following tables.

Prototype 1 | Regional Center: Small Housing Site

HR&A found that TOC Tier 3 set-aside unit requirements would not be feasible for Prototype 1, in part because the base FAR requires higher-cost concrete or steel construction, which creates less incremental value than a podium (wood/concrete construction) project utilizing a similar bonus, but with a lower base FAR. We found that Tier 1 with 8% ELI set-aside units would be feasible, and that Tier 2 with 9% ELI set-aside units would be marginally feasible (i.e., generates a residual land value greater than the base FAR, but not 10% greater). The ELI option is the most commonly utilized option used by developers for the TOC because it requires the fewest number of set-aside units, whereas the incrementally higher affordable rents for VLI and LI set-aside units do not offset the financial impact of the larger required percentages and resulting numbers of set-aside units.

As noted previously, we also tested lower base FARs for Prototype 1. We found that none of the TOC Tier 2 set-aside unit requirements would be feasible. This was due to the higher residual land value produced by a 3.0 base FAR scenario, which could be built using less expensive podium wood/concrete construction. TOC Tier 3 set-aside requirements would be feasible with a 2.0 base FAR scenario, although this option might disincentivize developers to build more dense buildings.

Prototype 1 Feasibility Results				
	Base/Max			
Set-Aside	FAR	Feasible?		
TOC Tier 3	4.0 Base			
10% ELI	4.0/6.75	No		
14% VLI	4.0/6.75	No		
23% LI	4.0/6.75	No		
TOC Tier 2				
9% ELI	4.0/6.75	Marginal		
12% VLI	4.0/6.75	No		
21% LI	4.0/6.75	No		
TOC Tier 1				
8% ELI	4.0/6.75	Yes		
11% VLI	4.0/6.75	No		
20% LI	4.0/6.75	No		
TOC Tier 3	3.0 Base			
10% ELI	3.0/6.75	No		
14% VLI	3.0/6.75	No		
23% LI	3.0/6.75	No		
TOC Tier 2				
9% ELI	3.0/6.75	No		
12% VLI	3.0/6.75	No		
21% LI	3.0/6.75	No		
TOC Tier 1				
8% ELI	3.0/6.75	No		
11% VLI	3.0/6.75	No		
20% LI	3.0/6.75	No		
TOC Tier 3	2.0 Base			
10% ELI	2.0/6.75	Yes		
14% VLI	2.0/6.75	Marginal		
23% LI	2.0/6.75	No		

Prototype 2 | Regional Center: Large Commercial Site

HR&A found that PAOAS requirements exceeding those identified in the Hollywood CPIO would be feasible. However, these requirements may not be feasible from a leasing perspective: many larger tenants of Class A Office Buildings (particularly technology and media users) value larger floorplates and prefer lower, wider buildings. Dedication of more than 25 percent of parcel area for open space would require the construction of

Prototype 2 Feasibility Results						
Base/Bonus Total Supportable						
FAR	Bonus	Open Space				
4.0/5.0	25%	17%				
4.0/6.0	50%	40%				
4.0/7.0*	75%	50%				
*Included for analysis purposes. But would exceed the maximum 6.75 FAR						

narrow, tall buildings which are unlikely to be desirable to such users.

Prototype 3 | Regional Center: Large Housing Site Affordable Housing

HR&A found that only TOC Tier 3 with 10% ELI set-aside units would be marginally feasible for Prototype 3. Again, this is because the base FAR requires higher-cost concrete or steel construction, which creates less incremental value than a podium (wood/concrete construction) project utilizing a similar bonus but with a lower base FAR. However, Prototype 3's larger site area allows for more efficient parking and circulation, which reduces costs and supports feasibility. As such, we found Tier 2 with 9% ELI set-aside units would be feasible and the 12 pecent VLI set-aside would be marginally feasible (i.e., generates a residual land value greater than the base FAR, but not 10% greater). Tier 1 with ELI and VLI set-aside unit requirements would also be feasible

TOC Tier 3 with ELI and VLI set-aside units requirements would also be feasible for 3.0 and 2.0 Base FAR scenarios.

Prototype 3 Feasibility Results				
Set-Aside	Base/Max FAR	Feasible?		
TOC Tier 3	4.0 Base			
10% ELI	4.0/6.75	Marginal		
14% VLI	4.0/6.75	No		
23% LI	4.0/6.75	No		
TOC Tier 2				
9% ELI	4.0/6.75	Yes		
12% VLI	4.0/6.75	Marginal		
21% LI	4.0/6.75	No		
TOC Tier 1				
8% ELI	4.0/6.75	Yes		
11% VLI	4.0/6.75	Yes		
20% LI	4.0/6.75	No		
TOC Tier 3	3.0 Base			
10% ELI	3.0/6.75	Marginal		
14% VLI	3.0/6.75	No		
23% LI	3.0/6.75	No		
TOC Tier 3	2.0 Base			
10% ELI	2.0/6.75	Yes		
14% VLI	2.0/6.75	Yes		
23% LI	2.0/6.75	No		

Prototype 4 | Corridors C4 (East): Small Housing Site Affordable Housing

HR&A tested Prototype 4 using market data for recentlycompleted projects within the Hollywood Community Plan along the commercial corridors roughly east of North Van Ness Avenue. We found that TOC Tier 3 with 10% ELI and VLI set-aside unit requirements would be feasible for Prototype 4. We also tested TOC Tier 4 set aside unit requirements and found that Tier 4 with 11% ELI requirements would be feasible.

Prototype 4 Feasibility Results						
Set-Aside	Base/Max FAR	Feasible?				
TOC Tier 4	1.5 Base					
11% ELI	1.5/3.0	Yes				
1 <i>5</i> % VLI	1.5/3.0	No				
25% LI	1.5/3.0	No				
TOC Tier 3						
10% ELI	1.5/3.0	Yes				
14% VLI	1.5/3.0	Yes				
23% LI	1.5/3.0	No				

Prototype 5 | Corridors C2/C5 (West): Small Housing Site Affordable Housing

HR&A tested Prototype 4 using market data for recentlycompleted projects within the Hollywood CPIO along the commercial corridors roughly west of North La Brea Avenue, which includes some projects within the City of West Hollywood. HR&A found that all of the TOC Tier 3 and 4 set-aside unit requirements would be feasible for Prototype 5, including the 25 percent Ll set-aside option, although it is unlikely that developers would choose this option because the other options would produce a greater number of market-rate units and generate greater returns.

Prototype 5 Feasibility Results					
Set-Aside	Base/Max FAR	Feasible?			
TOC Tier 4	1.5 Base				
11% ELI	1.5/3.0	Yes			
15% VLI	1.5/3.0	Yes			
25% LI	1.5/3.0	Yes			
TOC Tier 3					
10% ELI	1.5/3.0	Yes			
14% VLI	1.5/3.0	Yes			
23% LI	1.5/3.0	Yes			
TOC Tier 4	1.0 Base				
11% ELI	1.0/3.0	Yes			
15% VLI	1.0/3.0	Yes			
25% LI	1.0/3.0	Yes			
TOC Tier 3					
10% ELI	1.0/3.0	Yes			
14% VLI	1.0/3.0	Yes			
23% LI	1.0/3.0	Yes			

<u>Conclusion</u>: Higher-density multifamily rental prototypes in the Regional Center are most likely to feasibly support the lower TOC Tier 1 set-asides, while medium-density prototypes in the stronger Corridors C2 market areas can feasibly support higher TOC Tier 4 set-asides. Commercial prototypes in the Regional Center can support open space requirements as proposed in the Hollywood CPIO.

Attachment A: Appendix Tables for Prototype Results

Site 1: 4.0 Base FAR, TOC Tier 3

	Site #1 - Regional Center Small Site				
		Bonus/100% Market			
	Base Scenario	Rate	10% ELI	14% Very Low	23% Low
Development Program					
Acreage	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6
Height	157 ft.	201 ft.	256 ft.	256 ft.	256 ft.
Non-Parking Stories	13 stories	17 stories	23 stories	23 stories	23 stories
Parking Podium	1 stories	1 stories	1 stories	1 stories	1 stories
Residential Units	125	160	210	210	210
Market Rate	125	160	190	181	164
Affordable	0	0	20	29	46
Average Unit Size	667 SF	667 SF	667 SF	667 SF	667 SF
Construction Type					
Residential - Rental	Type II	Type II	Type II	Type II	Type II
Retail	Type II	Type II	Type II	Type II	Type II
Average Floorplate	7500 ft.	7500 ft.	7500 ft.	7500 ft.	7500 ft.
FAR	4.00	5.15	6.75	6.75	6.75
GBA	100,000 SF	0 SF	170,000 SF	170,000 SF	170,000 SF
Development Cost and Value	,				,
Total Development Costs per GBA	\$434	\$431	\$410	\$410	\$409
Capitalized Value per GBA	\$619	\$601	\$546	\$536	\$499
Community Benefits		,	F	,	· · ·
Affordable Housing Levels					
Apartment - Level 1	Very Low (50%)	Deeply Low (15%)	Extremely Low (30%)	Very Low (50%)	Low (60%)
Apartment - Level 2	Low (60%)		Extremely Low (30%)		Low (60%)
Affordable Housing Percentages		, , , ,	, , ,	, , ,	
Apartment - Level 1	0%	0%	10%	14%	23%
Apartment - Level 2	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Condos	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Affordable Housing Linkage Fee	Yes	Yes	No	No	No
Financial Returns					
RLV over Base Scenario		11%	(1%)	(17%)	(73%)
Residual Land Value	\$9,188,208	\$10,165,823	\$9,065,780	\$7,604,668	\$2,525,392
RLV Per Acre	\$16,009,533	\$17,712,930	\$15,796,215	\$13,250,373	\$4,400,243
Residual Land Value per SF of land	\$368	\$407	\$363	\$304	\$101
Return on Cost	6.51%	6.34%	6.05%	5.94%	5.55%
Findings					
FAR over Base Scenario		29 %	69 %	69 %	69 %
Affordable Housing					
Housing Type 1			Extremely Low (30%)	Very Low (50%)	Low (60%)
Housing Level 1			10%	14%	23%
Housing Type 2					
Housing Level 2					
Affordable Units per FAR Increase		0.0%	3.6%	5.1%	8.4%
Feasible?	Yes	Yes	No	No	Na

Site 1: 4.0 Base FAR, TOC Tier 2

	Site #1 - Regional Center Small Site				
		Bonus/100% Market			
	Base Scenario	Rate	9% ELI	12% Very Low	21% Low
Development Program					
Acreage	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6
Height	157 ft.	201 ft.	256 ft.	256 ft.	256 ft
Non-Parking Stories	13 stories	17 stories	23 stories	23 stories	23 stories
Parking Podium	1 stories	1 stories	1 stories	1 stories	1 stories
Residential Units	125	160	210	210	210
Market Rate	125	160	192	185	167
Affordable	0	0	18	25	43
Average Unit Size	667 SF	667 SF	667 SF	667 SF	667 SF
Construction Type					
Residential - Rental	Type II	Type II	Type II	Type II	Type II
Retail	Type II	Type II	Type II	Type II	Type II
Average Floorplate	7500 ft.	7500 ft.	7500 ft.	7500 ft.	7500 ft.
FAR	4.00	5.15	6.75	6.75	6.75
GBA	100,000 SF	0 SF	170,000 SF	170,000 SF	170,000 SF
Development Cost and Value					
Total Development Costs per GBA	\$434	\$431	\$410	\$410	\$409
Capitalized Value per GBA	\$619	\$601	\$552	\$545	\$511
Community Benefits					
Affordable Housing Levels					
Apartment - Level 1	Very Low (50%)	Deeply Low (15%)	Extremely Low (30%)	Very Low (50%)	Low (60%)
Apartment - Level 2	Low (60%)	Very Low (50%)	Extremely Low (30%)	Very Low (50%)	Low (60%)
Affordable Housing Percentages					
Apartment - Level 1	0%	0%	9%	12%	21%
Apartment - Level 2	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Condos	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Affordable Housing Linkage Fee	Yes	Yes	No	No	No
Financial Returns					
RLV over Base Scenario		11%	7%	(3%)	(54%)
Residual Land Value	\$9,188,208	\$10,165,823	\$9,818,702	\$8,936,578	\$4,208,558
RLV Per Acre	\$16,009,533	\$17,712,930	\$17,108,107	\$15,571,093	\$7,332,992
Residual Land Value per SF of land	\$368	\$407	\$393	\$357	\$168
Return on Cost	6.51%	6.34%	6.11%	6.04%	5.68%
Findings					
FAR over Base Scenario		29 %	69 %	69 %	69 %
Affordable Housing					
Housing Type 1			Extremely Low (30%)		Low (60%)
Housing Level 1			9%	12%	21%
Housing Type 2					
Housing Level 2					
Affordable Units per FAR Increase		0.0%	3.3%	4.4%	7.6%
Feasible?	Yes	Yes	Marginal	No	No

Site 1: 4.0 Base FAR, TOC Tier 1

		Site #1 - Regional Center Small Site				
		Bonus/100% Market				
	Base Scenario	Rate	10% ELI	14% Very Low	23% Low	
Development Program						
Acreage	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	
Height	157 ft.	256 ft.	256 ft.	256 ft.	256 ft	
Non-Parking Stories	13 stories	23 stories	23 stories	23 stories	23 stories	
Parking Podium	1 stories	1 stories	1 stories	1 stories	1 stories	
Residential Units	125	210	210	210	210	
Market Rate	125	210	194	186	170	
Affordable	0	0	16	24	40	
Average Unit Size	667 SF	667 SF	667 SF	667 SF	667 SF	
Construction Type						
Residential - Rental	Туре ІІ	Type II	Type II	Type II	Type II	
Retail	Туре II	Type II	Type II	Type II	Type II	
Average Floorplate	7500 ft.	7500 ft.	7500 ft.	7500 ft.	7500 ft.	
FAR	4.00	6.75	6.75	6.75	6.75	
GBA	100,000 SF	0 SF	170,000 SF	170,000 SF	170,000 SF	
Development Cost and Value						
Total Development Costs per GBA	\$434	\$429	\$410	\$410	\$409	
Capitalized Value per GBA	\$619	\$603	\$554	\$547	\$514	
Community Benefits						
Affordable Housing Levels						
Apartment - Level 1	Very Low (50%)	Deeply Low (15%)	Extremely Low (30%)	Very Low (50%)	Low (60%)	
Apartment - Level 2	Low (60%)	Very Low (50%)	Extremely Low (30%)	Very Low (50%)	Low (60%)	
Affordable Housing Percentages						
Apartment - Level 1	0%	0%	8%	11%	20%	
Apartment - Level 2	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Condos	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Affordable Housing Linkage Fee	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	
Financial Returns						
RLV over Base Scenario		51%	11%	(0%)	(50%)	
Residual Land Value	\$9,188,208	\$13,903,398	\$10,170,991	\$9,186,151	\$4,618,863	
RLV Per Acre	\$16,009,533	\$24,225,281	\$17,721,935	\$16,005,950	\$8,047,907	
Residual Land Value per SF of land	\$368	\$556	\$407	\$367	\$185	
Return on Cost	6.51%	6.37%	6.14%	6.06%	5.71%	
Findings		(00/	(0)/	(00/	(0)/	
FAR over Base Scenario		69 %	69 %	69 %	69 %	
Affordable Housing			E	\// (50 0/)	1	
Housing Type 1			Extremely Low (30%)	,	Low (60%)	
Housing Level 1			8%	11%	20%	
Housing Type 2						
Housing Level 2		0.00/	0.00/	4.004	7.00/	
Affordable Units per FAR Increase	Yes	0.0% Yes	2.9% Yes	4.0%	7.3%	
Feasible?	Tes	res	res	Marginal	No	

Site 1: 3.0 Base FAR, TOC Tier 3

		Site #1 - Regi	onal Center Small Site		
		Bonus/100% Market			
	Base Scenario	Rate	10% ELI	14% Very Low	23% Low
Development Program					
Acreage	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6
Height	64 ft.	256 ft.	256 ft.	256 ft.	256 ft
Non-Parking Stories	5 stories	23 stories	23 stories	23 stories	23 stories
Parking Podium	1 stories	1 stories	1 stories	1 stories	1 stories
Residential Units	90	210	210	210	210
Market Rate	90	210	190	181	164
Affordable	0	0	20	29	46
Average Unit Size	667 SF	667 SF	667 SF	667 SF	667 SF
Construction Type					
Residential - Rental	Podium	Type II	Type II	Type II	Type II
Retail	Podium	Type II	Type II	Type II	Type II
Average Floorplate	15000 ft.	7500 ft.	7500 ft.	7500 ft.	7500 ft.
FAR	3.00	6.75	6.75	6.75	6.75
GBA	75,000 SF	0 SF	170,000 SF	170,000 SF	170,000 SF
Development Cost and Value					
Total Development Costs per GBA	\$382	\$429	\$410	\$410	\$409
Capitalized Value per GBA	\$609	\$603	\$546	\$536	\$499
Community Benefits					
Affordable Housing Levels					
Apartment - Level 1	Very Low (50%)	Deeply Low (15%)	Extremely Low (30%)	Very Low (50%)	Low (60%)
Apartment - Level 2	Low (60%)	Very Low (50%)	Extremely Low (30%)	Very Low (50%)	Low (60%)
Affordable Housing Percentages					
Apartment - Level 1	0%	0%	10%	14%	23%
Apartment - Level 2	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Condos	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Affordable Housing Linkage Fee	Yes	Yes	No	No	No
Financial Returns					
RLV over Base Scenario	A	37%	(11%)	(25%)	(75%)
Residual Land Value	\$10,165,687	\$13,903,398	\$9,065,780	\$7,604,668	\$2,525,392
RLV Per Acre	\$17,712,694	\$24,225,281	\$15,796,215	\$13,250,373	\$4,400,243
Residual Land Value per SF of land	\$407	\$556	\$363	\$304	\$101
Return on Cost	7.32%	6.37%	6.05%	5.94%	5.55%
Findings		1050/	1050/	1050/	1050/
FAR over Base Scenario		125%	125%	125%	125%
Affordable Housing			Future and the Law (200/)	\//E00/)	1 (4 00/)
Housing Type 1			Extremely Low (30%)		Low (60%)
Housing Level 1			10%	14%	23%
Housing Type 2					
Housing Level 2		0.00/	0 70/	0	(10(
Affordable Units per FAR Increase	Yes	0.0% Yes	2.7%	3.7%	6.1%
Feasible?	Tes	fes	Νο	No	No

Site 1: 3.0 Base FAR, TOC Tier 2

	Site #1 - Regional Center Small Site				
		Bonus/100% Market			
	Base Scenario	Rate	9% ELI	12% Very Low	21% Low
Development Program					
Acreage	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6
Height	64 ft.	256 ft.	256 ft.	256 ft.	256 ft.
Non-Parking Stories	5 stories	23 stories	23 stories	23 stories	23 stories
Parking Podium	1 stories	1 stories	1 stories	1 stories	1 stories
Residential Units	90	210	210	210	210
Market Rate	90	210	192	185	167
Affordable	0	0	18	25	43
Average Unit Size	667 SF	667 SF	667 SF	667 SF	667 SF
Construction Type					
Residential - Rental	Podium	Type II	Type II	Type II	Type II
Retail	Podium	Type II	Type II	Type II	Type II
Average Floorplate	15000 ft.	7500 ft.	7500 ft.	7500 ft.	7500 ft.
FAR	3.00	6.75	6.75	6.75	6.75
GBA	75,000 SF	0 SF	170,000 SF	170,000 SF	170,000 SF
Development Cost and Value					
Total Development Costs per GBA	\$382	\$429	\$410	\$410	\$409
Capitalized Value per GBA	\$609	\$603	\$552	\$545	\$511
Community Benefits					
Affordable Housing Levels					
Apartment - Level 1	Very Low (50%)	Deeply Low (15%)	Extremely Low (30%)	Very Low (50%)	Low (60%)
Apartment - Level 2	Low (60%)	Very Low (50%)	Extremely Low (30%)	Very Low (50%)	Low (60%)
Affordable Housing Percentages					
Apartment - Level 1	0%	0%	9%	12%	21%
Apartment - Level 2	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Condos	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Affordable Housing Linkage Fee	Yes	Yes	No	No	No
Financial Returns					
RLV over Base Scenario		37%	(3%)	(12%)	(59%)
Residual Land Value	\$10,165,687	\$13,903,398	\$9,818,702	\$8,936,578	\$4,208,558
RLV Per Acre	\$17,712,694	\$24,225,281	\$17,108,107	\$15,571,093	\$7,332,992
Residual Land Value per SF of land	\$407	\$556	\$393	\$357	\$168
Return on Cost	7.32%	6.37%	6.11%	6.04%	5.68%
Findings		0/	0/	0/	0/
FAR over Base Scenario		125%	125%	125%	125%
Affordable Housing			E	N/ 1 (500/)	
Housing Type 1			Extremely Low (30%)		Low (60%)
Housing Level 1			9%	12%	21%
Housing Type 2					
Housing Level 2					
Affordable Units per FAR Increase		0.0%	2.4%	3.2%	5.6%
Feasible?	Yes	Yes	No	No	Να

Site 1: 2.0 Base FAR, TOC Tier 3

		Site #1 - Regi	onal Center Small Site		
		Bonus/100% Market			
	Base Scenario	Rate	10% ELI	14% Very Low	23% Low
Development Program					
Acreage	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6
Height	44 ft.	256 ft.	256 ft.	256 ft.	256 ft
Non-Parking Stories	3 stories	23 stories	23 stories	23 stories	23 stories
Parking Podium	1 stories	1 stories	1 stories	1 stories	1 stories
Residential Units	60	210	210	210	210
Market Rate	60	210	190	181	164
Affordable	0	0	20	29	46
Average Unit Size	667 SF	667 SF	667 SF	667 SF	667 SF
Construction Type					
Residential - Rental	Podium	Type II	Type II	Type II	Type II
Retail	Podium	Type II	Type II	Type II	Type II
Average Floorplate	15000 ft.	7500 ft.	7500 ft.	7500 ft.	7500 ft.
FAR	2.00	6.75	6.75	6.75	6.75
GBA	50,000 SF	0 SF	170,000 SF	170,000 SF	170,000 SF
Development Cost and Value					
Total Development Costs per GBA	\$391	\$429	\$410	\$410	\$409
Capitalized Value per GBA	\$625	\$603	\$546	\$536	\$499
Community Benefits					
Affordable Housing Levels					
Apartment - Level 1	Very Low (50%)	Deeply Low (15%)	Extremely Low (30%)	Very Low (50%)	Low (60%)
Apartment - Level 2	Low (60%)	Very Low (50%)	Extremely Low (30%)	Very Low (50%)	Low (60%)
Affordable Housing Percentages					
Apartment - Level 1	0%	0%	10%	14%	23%
Apartment - Level 2	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Condos	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Affordable Housing Linkage Fee	Yes	Yes	No	No	No
Financial Returns					
RLV over Base Scenario		99 %	30%	9 %	(64 %)
Residual Land Value	\$6,977,055	\$13,903,398	\$9,065,780	\$7,604,668	\$2,525,392
RLV Per Acre	\$12,156,820	\$24,225,281	\$15,796,215	\$13,250,373	\$4,400,243
Residual Land Value per SF of land	\$279	\$556	\$363	\$304	\$101
Return on Cost	7.39%	6.37%	6.05%	5.94%	5.55%
Findings					
FAR over Base Scenario		238%	238%	238%	238%
Affordable Housing					
Housing Type 1			Extremely Low (30%)		Low (60%)
Housing Level 1			10%	14%	23%
Housing Type 2					
Housing Level 2					
Affordable Units per FAR Increase		0.0%	2.1%	2.9%	4.8%
Feasible?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Marginal	No

Site 2: Open Space Test

Residual Land Value Analysis

	Base Scenario	Base Scenario w/ 15% Open Space	Bonus Scenario + 1.0 FAR (17%)	Bonus Scenario + 2.0 FAR (40%)	Bonus Scenario + 3.0 FAR (50%)*
Development Program Acreage					
Height	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6
Stories	140 ft.	168 ft.	210 ft.	336 ft.	476 ft.
Parking Podium	9 stories	11 stories	14 stories	23 stories	33 stories
Residential Units	1 stories	1 stories	1 stories	1 stories	1 stories
Market Rate					
Affordable					
Construction Type					
Residential - Rental					
Residential - Condo					
Retail	-		-		–
Office	Type II	Туре II	Type II	Туре II	Type II
Hotel	Type II	Туре II	Туре II	Туре II	Туре II
Average Floorplate	30000 ft.	25500 ft.	24900 ft.	18000 ft.	15000 ft.
FAR					
GBA	4.00	4.00	5.00	6.00	7.00
Development Cost and Value	280,000 SF	280,000 SF	350,000 SF	420,000 SF	490,000 SF
Total Development Costs per GBA	¢ c co	¢ r / r	¢ 570	¢.coo	¢ / 1 –
Capitalized Value per GBA	\$559	\$565	\$578	\$599	\$617
Community Benefits	\$841	\$841	\$843	\$844	\$845
Affordable Housing Linkage Fee					
Ground Floor Community Space					
Resulting Community Space (Calculated)					
Ground Floor Open Space	0%	15%	17%	40%	50%
Resulting Open Space (Calculated)	0/8	4,500	5,100	12,000	15,000
Supportable Cash Payment (Calculated)	0	(\$1,609,423)	\$4,935,449	\$6,195,566	\$5,818,000
Financial Returns		(\$1,007,423)	\$4,755,447	φ 0 ,175,500	\$5,610,000
RLV over Base Scenario		(4%)	11%	14%	13%
Residual Land Value	\$43,213,507	\$41,604,084	\$48,148,956	\$49,409,073	\$49,031,507
RLV Per Acre	\$26,891,148	\$25,889,627	\$29,962,408	\$30,746,560	\$30,511,606
Residual Land Value per SF of land	\$617	\$594	\$688	\$706	\$700
Return on Cost	8.73%	8.64%	8.47%	8.18%	7.95%
Findings					
FAR over Base Scenario					
Affordable Housing					
Housing Type 1					
Housing Level 1					
Housing Type 2					
Housing Level 2					
Condo Type					
Condo Level					
Affordable Units per FAR Increase	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Feasible?					103

*This density is shown for illustrative purposes only, and is not contemplated in the Hollywood CPIO.

Site 3: 4.0 Base FAR, TOC Tier 3

	Site #3 - Regional Center Large Site						
	Base Scenario	Bonus/100% Market Rate	10% ELI	14% Very Low	23% Low		
Development Program							
Acreage	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0		
Height	154 ft.	253 ft.	253 ft.	253 ft.	253 ft		
Stories	14 stories	23 stories	23 stories	23 stories	23 stories		
Residential Units	200	345	345	345	345		
Market Rate	200	345	312	299	269		
Affordable	0	0	33	46	76		
Average Unit Size	667 SF	667 SF	667 SF	667 SF	667 SF		
Construction Type							
Residential - Rental	Type II	Type II	Type II	Type II	Type II		
Retail	Type II	Type II	Type II	Type II	Type II		
Average Floorplate	12500 ft.	12500 ft.	12500 ft.	12500 ft.	12500 ft		
FAR	4.00	6.75	6.75	6.75	6.75		
GBA	170,000 SF	287,500 SF	287,500 SF	287,500 SF	287,500 SF		
Development Cost and Value					· ·		
Total Development Costs per GBA	\$448	\$438	\$419	\$419	\$418		
Capitalized Value per GBA	\$639	\$621	\$565	\$554	\$514		
Community Benefits							
Affordable Housing Levels							
Apartment - Level 1	Very Low (50%)	Very Low (50%)	Deeply Low (15%)	Very Low (50%)	Very Low (50%)		
Apartment - Level 2	Low (60%)	Low (60%)	Very Low (50%)	Moderate (110%)	Moderate (110%)		
Affordable Housing Percentages							
Apartment - Level 1	0%	0%	10%	14%	23%		
Apartment - Level 2	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		
Condos	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		
Affordable Housing Linkage Fee	Yes	Yes	No	No	No		
Financial Returns							
RLV over Base Scenario		59 %	7%	(8%)	(68%)		
Residual Land Value	\$16,118,610	\$25,657,681	\$17,252,801	\$14,764,327	\$5,126,920		
RLV Per Acre	\$16,520,628	\$26,297,614	\$17,683,106	\$15,132,566	\$5,254,791		
Residual Land Value per SF of land	\$379	\$604	\$406	\$347	\$121		
Return on Cost	7.04%	6.93%	6.59%	6.47%	6.02%		
Findings							
FAR over Base Scenario		69 %	69 %	69 %	69 %		
Affordable Housing							
Housing Type 1			Deeply Low (15%)	Very Low (50%)	Very Low (50%)		
Housing Level 1			10%	14%	23%		
Housing Type 2							
Housing Level 2							
Affordable Units per FAR Increase		0.0%	3.6%	5.1%	8.4%		
Feasible?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No		

Site 3: 4.0 Base FAR, TOC Tier 2

	Site #3 - Regional Center Large Site						
	Base Scenario	Bonus/100% Market Rate	9% ELI	12% Very Low	21% Low		
Development Program							
Acreage	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0		
Height	154 ft.	253 ft.	253 ft.	253 ft.	253 ft		
Stories	14 stories	23 stories	23 stories	23 stories	23 stories		
Residential Units	200	345	345	345	345		
Market Rate	200	345	314	305	276		
Affordable	0	0	31	40	69		
Average Unit Size	667 SF	667 SF	667 SF	667 SF	667 SF		
Construction Type							
Residential - Rental	Type II	Type II	Type II	Type II	Type II		
Retail	Type II	Type II	Type II	Type II	Type II		
Average Floorplate	12500 ft.	12500 ft.	12500 ft.	12500 ft.	12500 ft.		
FAR	4.00	6.75	6.75	6.75	6.75		
GBA	170,000 SF	287,500 SF	287,500 SF	287,500 SF	287,500 SF		
Development Cost and Value			,				
Total Development Costs per GBA	\$448	\$438	\$419	\$419	\$418		
Capitalized Value per GBA	\$639	\$621	\$568	\$564	\$523		
Community Benefits			,	,			
Affordable Housing Levels							
Apartment - Level 1	Very Low (50%)	Very Low (50%)	Deeply Low (15%)	Very Low (50%)	Very Low (50%)		
Apartment - Level 2	Low (60%)	Low (60%)	Very Low (50%)	Moderate (110%)	Moderate (110%)		
Affordable Housing Percentages							
Apartment - Level 1	0%	0%	9%	12%	21%		
Apartment - Level 2	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		
Condos	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		
Affordable Housing Linkage Fee	Yes	Yes	No	No	No		
Financial Returns							
RLV over Base Scenario		59 %	12%	6 %	(54%)		
Residual Land Value	\$16,118,610	\$25,657,681	\$18,004,043	\$17,090,869	\$7,376,877		
RLV Per Acre	\$16,520,628	\$26,297,614	\$18,453,085	\$17,517,135	\$7,560,865		
Residual Land Value per SF of land	\$379	\$604	\$424	\$402	\$174		
Return on Cost	7.04%	6.93%	6.62%	6.58%	6.13%		
Findings							
FAR over Base Scenario		69 %	69 %	69 %	69 %		
Affordable Housing							
Housing Type 1			Deeply Low (15%)	Very Low (50%)	Very Low (50%)		
Housing Level 1			9%	12%	21%		
Housing Type 2							
Housing Level 2							
Affordable Units per FAR Increase		0.0%	3.3%	4.4%	7.6%		
Feasible?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No		

Site 3: 4.0 Base FAR, TOC Tier 2

	Site #3 - Regional Center Large Site						
	Base Scenario	Bonus/100% Market Rate	8% ELI	11% Very Low	20% Low		
Development Program							
Acreage	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0		
Height	154 ft.	253 ft.	253 ft.	253 ft.	253 ft.		
Stories	14 stories	23 stories	23 stories	23 stories	23 stories		
Residential Units	200	345	345	345	345		
Market Rate	200	345	318	309	279		
Affordable	0	0	27	36	66		
Average Unit Size	667 SF	667 SF	667 SF	667 SF	667 SF		
Construction Type							
Residential - Rental	Type II	Type II	Type II	Type II	Type II		
Retail	Type II	Type II	Type II	Type II	Type II		
Average Floorplate	12500 ft.	12500 ft.	12500 ft.	12500 ft.	12500 ft.		
FAR	4.00	6.75	6.75	6.75	6.75		
GBA	170,000 SF	287,500 SF	287,500 SF	287,500 SF	287,500 SF		
Development Cost and Value							
Total Development Costs per GBA	\$448	\$438	\$419	\$419	\$418		
Capitalized Value per GBA	\$639	\$621	\$576	\$570	\$527		
Community Benefits							
Affordable Housing Levels							
Apartment - Level 1	Very Low (50%)	Very Low (50%)	Deeply Low (15%)	Very Low (50%)	Very Low (50%)		
Apartment - Level 2	Low (60%)	Low (60%)	Very Low (50%)	Moderate (110%)	Moderate (110%)		
Affordable Housing Percentages							
Apartment - Level 1	0%	0%	8%	11%	20%		
Apartment - Level 2	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		
Condos	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		
Affordable Housing Linkage Fee	Yes	Yes	No	No	No		
Financial Returns							
RLV over Base Scenario		59 %	24 %	15%	(49%)		
Residual Land Value	\$16,118,610	\$25,657,681	\$19,998,345	\$18,508,546	\$8,224,306		
RLV Per Acre	\$16,520,628	\$26,297,614	\$20,497,127	\$18,970,171	\$8,429,430		
Residual Land Value per SF of land	\$379	\$604	\$471	\$435	\$194		
Return on Cost	7.04%	6.93%	6.72%	6.65%	6.16%		
Findings							
FAR over Base Scenario		69 %	69 %	69 %	69 %		
Affordable Housing							
Housing Type 1			Deeply Low (15%)	Very Low (50%)	Very Low (50%)		
Housing Level 1			8%	11%	20%		
Housing Type 2							
Housing Level 2							
Affordable Units per FAR Increase		0.0%	2.9%	4.0%	7.3%		
Feasible?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No		

Site 3: 3.0 Base FAR, TOC Tier 3

	Site #3 - Regional Center Large Site						
		Bonus/100% Market					
	Base Scenario	Rate	10% ELI	14% Very Low	23% Low		
Development Program							
Acreage	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0		
Height	66 ft.	253 ft.	253 ft.	253 ft.	253 ft.		
Stories	6 stories	23 stories	23 stories	23 stories	23 stories		
Residential Units	140	345	345	345	345		
Market Rate	140	345	312	299	269		
Affordable	0	0	33	46	76		
Average Unit Size	667 SF	667 SF	667 SF	667 SF	667 SF		
Construction Type							
Residential - Rental	Podium	Type II	Type II	Type II	Type II		
Retail	Podium	Type II	Type II	Type II	Type II		
Average Floorplate	22500 ft.	12500 ft.	12500 ft.	12500 ft.	12500 ft.		
FAR	3.00	6.75	6.75	6.75	6.75		
GBA	127,500 SF	287,500 SF	287,500 SF	287,500 SF	287,500 SF		
Development Cost and Value							
Total Development Costs per GBA	\$402	\$438	\$419	\$419	\$418		
Capitalized Value per GBA	\$633	\$621	\$565	\$554	\$514		
Community Benefits							
Affordable Housing Levels							
Apartment - Level 1	Very Low (50%)	Very Low (50%)	Deeply Low (15%)	Very Low (50%)	Very Low (50%)		
Apartment - Level 2	Low (60%)	Low (60%)	Very Low (50%)	Moderate (110%)	Moderate (110%)		
Affordable Housing Percentages							
Apartment - Level 1	0%	0%	10%	14%	23%		
Apartment - Level 2	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		
Condos	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		
Affordable Housing Linkage Fee	Yes	Yes	No	No	No		
Financial Returns							
RLV over Base Scenario		136%	59 %	36%	(53%)		
Residual Land Value	\$17,255,139	\$25,657,681	\$17,252,801	\$14,764,327	\$5,126,920		
RLV Per Acre	\$17,685,502	\$26,297,614	\$17,683,106	\$15,132,566	\$5,254,791		
Residual Land Value per SF of land	\$406	\$604	\$406	\$347	\$121		
Return on Cost	7.84%	6.93%	6.59%	6.47%	6.02%		
Findings							
FAR over Base Scenario		125%	125%	125%	125%		
Affordable Housing							
Housing Type 1			Deeply Low (15%)	Very Low (50%)	Very Low (50%)		
Housing Level 1			10%	14%	23%		
Housing Type 2							
Housing Level 2							
Affordable Units per FAR Increase		0.0%	2.7%	3.7%	6.1%		
Feasible?	Yes	Yes	Marginal	No	No		

Site 3: 2.0 Base FAR, TOC Tier 3

	Site #3 - Regional Center Large Site						
	Base Scenario	Rate	10% ELI	14% Very Low	23% Low		
Development Program							
Acreage	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0		
Height	44 ft.	253 ft.	253 ft.	253 ft.	253 ft.		
Stories	4 stories	23 stories	23 stories	23 stories	23 stories		
Residential Units	90	345	345	345	345		
Market Rate	90	345	312	299	269		
Affordable	0	0	33	46	76		
Average Unit Size	667 SF	667 SF	667 SF	667 SF	667 SF		
Construction Type							
Residential - Rental	Podium	Type II	Type II	Type II	Type II		
Retail	Podium	Type II	Type II	Type II	Type II		
Average Floorplate	20000 ft.	12500 ft.	12500 ft.	12500 ft.	12500 ft.		
FAR	2.00	6.75	6.75	6.75	6.75		
GBA	85,000 SF	287,500 SF	287,500 SF	287,500 SF	287,500 SF		
Development Cost and Value							
Total Development Costs per GBA	\$424	\$438	\$419	\$419	\$418		
Capitalized Value per GBA	\$674	\$621	\$565	\$554	\$514		
Community Benefits							
Affordable Housing Levels							
Apartment - Level 1	Very Low (50%)	Very Low (50%)	Deeply Low (15%)	Very Low (50%)	Very Low (50%)		
Apartment - Level 2	Low (60%)	Low (60%)	Very Low (50%)	Moderate (110%)	Moderate (110%)		
Affordable Housing Percentages							
Apartment - Level 1	0%	0%	10%	14%	23%		
Apartment - Level 2	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		
Condos	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		
Affordable Housing Linkage Fee	Yes	Yes	No	No	No		
Financial Returns							
RLV over Base Scenario		200%	102%	73%	(40%)		
Residual Land Value	\$12,634,786	\$25,657,681	\$17,252,801	\$14,764,327	\$5,126,920		
RLV Per Acre	\$12,949,912	\$26,297,614	\$17,683,106	\$15,132,566	\$5,254,791		
Residual Land Value per SF of land	\$297	\$604	\$406	\$347	\$121		
Return on Cost	8.04%	6.93%	6.59%	6.47%	6.02%		
Findings							
FAR over Base Scenario		238%	238%	238%	238%		
Affordable Housing							
Housing Type 1			Deeply Low (15%)	Very Low (50%)	Very Low (50%)		
Housing Level 1			10%	14%	23%		
Housing Type 2							
Housing Level 2							
Affordable Units per FAR Increase		0.0%	2.1%	2.9%	4.8%		
Feasible?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No		

Site 4: 1.5 Base FAR, TOC Tier 4

	Site #4 - Boulevards Small Site (East) Base Scenario (FAR Bonus 100% Market							
	Base Scenario (FAR							
	1.5:1)	Rate	11% ELI	15% Very Low	25% Low			
Development Program								
Acreage	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6			
Height	4 ft.	64 ft.	64 ft.	64 ft.	64 ft.			
Non-Parking Stories	3 stories	5 stories	5 stories	5 stories	5 stories			
Parking Podium	1 stories	1 stories	1 stories	1 stories	1 stories			
Residential Units	45	90	90	90	90			
Market Rate	45	90	80	77	68			
Affordable	0	0	10	13	22			
Average Unit Size	667 SF	667 SF	667 SF	667 SF	667 SF			
Construction Type								
Residential - Rental	Podium	Podium	Podium	Podium	Podium			
Retail	Podium	Podium	Podium	Podium	Podium			
Average Floorplate	15000 ft.	15000 ft.	15000 ft.	15000 ft.	15000 ft.			
FAR	1.50	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00			
GBA	37,500 SF	75,000 SF	75,000 SF	75,000 SF	75,000 SF			
Development Cost and Value			·					
Total Development Costs per GBA	\$395	\$379	\$361	\$360	\$359			
Capitalized Value per GBA	\$637	\$591	\$526	\$511	\$482			
Community Benefits								
Affordable Housing Levels								
Apartment - Level 1	Very Low (50%)	Very Low (50%)	Extremely Low (30%)	Very Low (50%)	Low (60%)			
Apartment - Level 2	Low (60%)	Low (60%)	Extremely Low (30%)	Very Low (50%)	Low (60%)			
Affordable Housing Percentages								
Apartment - Level 1	0%	0%	11%	15%	25%			
Apartment - Level 2	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%			
Condos	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%			
Affordable Housing Linkage Fee	Yes	Yes	No	No	No			
Financial Returns								
RLV over Base Scenario		68 %	17%	0%	(31%)			
Residual Land Value	\$5,466,952	\$9,188,105	\$6,412,471	\$5,494,005	\$3,754,531			
RLV Per Acre	\$9,525,618	\$16,009,354	\$11,173,089	\$9,572,755	\$6,541,895			
Residual Land Value per SF of land	\$219	\$368	\$256	\$220	\$150			
Return on Cost	7.51%	7.15%	6.70%	6.52%	6.18%			
Findings								
FAR over Base Scenario		100%	100%	100%	100%			
Affordable Housing								
Housing Type 1			Extremely Low (30%)	Very Low (50%)	Low (60%)			
Housing Level 1			11%	15%	25%			
Housing Type 2								
Housing Level 2								
Affordable Units per FAR Increase		0.0%	7.3%	10.0%	16.7%			
Feasible?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No			

Site 4: 1.5 Base FAR, TOC Tier 3

	Site #4 - Boulevards Small Site (East)							
	Base Scenario (FAR	Bonus 100% Market						
	1.5:1)	Rate	10% ELI	14% Very Low	23% Low			
Development Program								
Acreage	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6			
Height	44 ft.	64 ft.	64 ft.	64 ft.	64 ft.			
Non-Parking Stories	3 stories	5 stories	5 stories	5 stories	5 stories			
Parking Podium	1 stories	1 stories	1 stories	1 stories	1 stories			
Residential Units	45	90	90	90	90			
Market Rate	45	90	82	78	70			
Affordable	0	0	8	12	20			
Average Unit Size	667 SF	667 SF	667 SF	667 SF	667 SF			
Construction Type								
Residential - Rental	Podium	Podium	Podium	Podium	Podium			
Retail	Podium	Podium	Podium	Podium	Podium			
Average Floorplate	15000 ft.	15000 ft.	15000 ft.	15000 ft.	15000 ft.			
FAR	1.50	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00			
GBA	37,500 SF	75,000 SF	75,000 SF	75,000 SF	75,000 SF			
Development Cost and Value			·					
Total Development Costs per GBA	\$395	\$379	\$361	\$360	\$359			
Capitalized Value per GBA	\$637	\$591	\$534	\$523	\$490			
Community Benefits								
Affordable Housing Levels								
Apartment - Level 1	Very Low (50%)	Very Low (50%)	Extremely Low (30%)	Very Low (50%)	Low (60%)			
Apartment - Level 2	Low (60%)	Low (60%)	Extremely Low (30%)	Very Low (50%)	Low (60%)			
Affordable Housing Percentages								
Apartment - Level 1	0%	0%	10%	14%	23%			
Apartment - Level 2	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%			
Condos	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%			
Affordable Housing Linkage Fee	Yes	Yes	No	No	No			
Financial Returns								
RLV over Base Scenario		68 %	27 %	15%	(22%)			
Residual Land Value	\$5,466,952	\$9,188,105	\$6,959,018	\$6,290,388	\$4,271,600			
RLV Per Acre	\$9,525,618	\$16,009,354	\$12,125,392	\$10,960,373	\$7,442,835			
Residual Land Value per SF of land	\$219	\$368	\$278	\$252	\$171			
Return on Cost	7.51%	7.15%	6.81%	6.68%	6.28%			
Findings								
FAR over Base Scenario		100%	100%	100%	100%			
Affordable Housing								
Housing Type 1			Extremely Low (30%)	Very Low (50%)	Low (60%)			
Housing Level 1			10%	14%	23%			
Housing Type 2								
Housing Level 2								
Affordable Units per FAR Increase		0.0%	6.7%	9.3%	15.3%			
Feasible?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No			

HR&A Advisors, Inc. Site 5: 1.0 Base FAR, TOC Tier 4

Residual Land Value Analysis

	Site #5 - Boulevards Small Site (West)							
	Base Scenario (FAR	-	-					
	1.0:1)	Bonus/100% Market Rate	11% ELI	15% Very Low	25% Low			
Development Program								
Acreage	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6			
Height	34 ft.	64 ft.	64 ft.	64 ft.	64 ft.			
Non-Parking Stories	2 stories	5 stories	5 stories	5 stories	5 stories			
Parking Podium	1 stories	1 stories	1 stories	1 stories	1 stories			
Residential Units	25	90	90	90	90			
Market Rate	25	90	80	77	68			
Affordable	0	0	10	13	22			
Average Unit Size	667 SF	667 SF	667 SF	667 SF	667 SF			
Construction Type								
Residential - Rental	Podium	Podium	Podium	Podium	Podium			
Retail	Podium	Podium	Podium	Podium	Podium			
Average Floorplate	1 5000 ft.	1 5000 ft.	15000 ft.	15000 ft.	15000 ft			
FAR	1.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00			
GBA	25,000 SF	75,000 SF	75,000 SF	75,000 SF	75,000 SF			
Development Cost and Value	·	·						
Total Development Costs per GBA	\$391	\$379	\$361	\$360	\$352			
Capitalized Value per GBA	\$639	\$671	\$595	\$576	\$541			
Community Benefits								
Affordable Housing Levels								
Apartment - Level 1	Very Low (50%)	Very Low (50%)	Extremely Low (30%)	Very Low (50%)	Low (60%)			
Apartment - Level 2	Low (60%)	Low (60%)	Extremely Low (30%)	Very Low (50%)	Low (60%)			
Affordable Housing Percentages								
Apartment - Level 1	0%	0%	11%	15%	25%			
Apartment - Level 2	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%			
Condos	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%			
Affordable Housing Linkage Fee	Yes	Yes	No	No	No			
Financial Returns								
RLV over Base Scenario		127%	71%	53%	28%			
Residual Land Value	\$3,800,758	\$14,289,544	\$10,793,007	\$9,631,355	\$8,040,681			
RLV Per Acre	\$6,622,440	\$24,898,101	\$18,805,736	\$16,781,672	\$14,010,083			
Residual Land Value per SF of land	\$152	\$572	\$432	\$385	\$322			
Return on Cost	7.75%	8.10%	7.56%	7.33%	7.06%			
Findings								
FAR over Base Scenario		200%	200%	200%	200 %			
Affordable Housing								
Housing Type 1			Extremely Low (30%)	Very Low (50%)	Low (60%)			
Housing Level 1			11%	15%	25%			
Housing Type 2								
Housing Level 2								
Affordable Units per FAR Increase		0.0%	5.5%	7.5%	12.5%			
Feasible?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			

CONFIDENTIAL DRAFT NOT FOR PUBLIC DISTRIBUTION

HR&A Advisors, Inc.

Site 5: 1.0 Base FAR, TOC Tier 3

	Site #5 - Boulevards Small Site (West)						
	Base Scenario (FAR	Bonus/100% Market					
	1.0:1)	Rate	10% ELI	14% Very Low	23% Low		
Development Program							
Acreage	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6		
Height	34 ft.	64 ft.	64 ft.	64 ft.	64 ft		
Non-Parking Stories	2 stories	5 stories	5 stories	5 stories	5 stories		
Parking Podium	1 stories	1 stories	1 stories	1 stories	1 stories		
Residential Units	25	90	90	90	90		
Market Rate	25	90	82	78	70		
Affordable	0	0	8	12	20		
Average Unit Size	667 SF	667 SF	667 SF	667 SF	667 SF		
Construction Type							
Residential - Rental	Podium	Podium	Podium	Podium	Podium		
Retail	Podium	Podium	Podium	Podium	Podium		
Average Floorplate	15000 ft.	15000 ft.	15000 ft.	15000 ft.	15000 ft		
FAR	1.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00		
GBA	25,000 SF	75,000 SF	75,000 SF	75,000 SF	75,000 SF		
Development Cost and Value	20,000 01	/ 5,000 01	/ 5,000 01	/ 5,000 01	7 5,000 01		
Total Development Costs per GBA	\$391	\$379	\$361	\$360	\$352		
Capitalized Value per GBA	\$639	\$671	\$606	\$591	\$551		
Community Benefits	\$657	ψ07 Τ	\$000	\$5 71	\$ 5 51		
Affordable Housing Levels							
Apartment - Level 1	Very Low (50%)	Very Low (50%)	Extremely Low (30%)	Very Low (50%)	Low (60%)		
Apartment - Level 2	Low (60%)	Low (60%)	Extremely Low (30%)	Very Low (50%)	Low (60%)		
Affordable Housing Percentages	2014 (0070)	204 (0070)			2014 (0070)		
Apartment - Level 1	0%	0%	10%	14%	23%		
Apartment - Level 2	0%	0%	0%	0%	23%		
Condos	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		
Affordable Housing Linkage Fee	Yes	Yes	No	No	No		
Financial Returns	Tes	Tes	071	INO	INO		
RLV over Base Scenario		1 27 %	83%	68%	38%		
Residual Land Value	\$3,800,758	\$14,289,544	\$11,511,657	\$10,567,771	\$8,677,548		
RLV Per Acre	\$6,622,440	\$24,898,101	\$20,057,911	\$18,413,284	\$15,119,760		
Residual Land Value per SF of land	\$152	\$572	\$460	\$423	\$347		
Return on Cost	7.75%	8.10%	7.70%	7.51%	7.19%		
Findings							
FAR over Base Scenario		200%	200%	200%	200%		
Affordable Housing			,0	/	,0		
Housing Type 1			Extremely Low (30%)	Very Low (50%)	Low (60%)		
Housing Level 1			10%	14%	23%		
Housing Type 2			1070	1470	2070		
Housing Level 2							
Affordable Units per FAR Increase		0.0%	5.0%	7.0%	11.5%		
Feasible?	Yes	Ves	Yes	7.0% Yes	Yes		
i Guainie.	103	163	163	103	103		

HR&A Advisors, Inc. Site 5: 1.5 Base FAR, TOC Tier 4

Residual Land Value Analysis

	Site #5 - Boulevards Small Site (West)						
	Base Scenario (FAR	-	-				
	1.0:1)	Rate	11% ELI	15% Very Low	25% Low		
Development Program							
Acreage	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6		
Height	44 ft.	64 ft.	64 ft.	64 ft.	64 ft.		
Non-Parking Stories	3 stories	5 stories	5 stories	5 stories	5 stories		
Parking Podium	1 stories	1 stories	1 stories	1 stories	1 stories		
Residential Units	45	90	90	90	90		
Market Rate	45	90	80	77	68		
Affordable	0	0	10	13	22		
Average Unit Size	667 SF	667 SF	667 SF	667 SF	667 SF		
Construction Type							
Residential - Rental	Podium	Podium	Podium	Podium	Podium		
Retail	Podium	Podium	Podium	Podium	Podium		
Average Floorplate	15000 ft.	15000 ft.	15000 ft.	15000 ft.	1 5000 ft		
FAR	1.50	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00		
GBA	37,500 SF	75,000 SF	75,000 SF	75,000 SF	75,000 SF		
Development Cost and Value	·	·	·				
Total Development Costs per GBA	\$395	\$379	\$361	\$360	\$352		
Capitalized Value per GBA	\$720	\$671	\$595	\$576	\$541		
Community Benefits							
Affordable Housing Levels							
Apartment - Level 1	Very Low (50%)	Very Low (50%)	Extremely Low (30%)	Very Low (50%)	Low (60%)		
Apartment - Level 2	Low (60%)	Low (60%)	Extremely Low (30%)	Very Low (50%)	Low (60%)		
Affordable Housing Percentages							
Apartment - Level 1	0%	0%	11%	15%	25%		
Apartment - Level 2	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		
Condos	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		
Affordable Housing Linkage Fee	Yes	Yes	No	No	No		
Financial Returns							
RLV over Base Scenario		1 27 %	71%	53%	28 %		
Residual Land Value	\$8,112,797	\$14,289,544	\$10,793,007	\$9,631,355	\$8,040,681		
RLV Per Acre	\$14,135,738	\$24,898,101	\$18,805,736	\$16,781,672	\$14,010,083		
Residual Land Value per SF of land	\$325	\$572	\$432	\$385	\$322		
Return on Cost	8.46%	8.10%	7.56%	7.33%	7.06%		
Findings							
FAR over Base Scenario		100%	100%	100%	100%		
Affordable Housing							
Housing Type 1			Extremely Low (30%)	Very Low (50%)	Low (60%)		
Housing Level 1			11%	15%	25%		
Housing Type 2							
Housing Level 2							
Affordable Units per FAR Increase		0.0%	7.3%	10.0%	16.7%		
Feasible?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		

HR&A Advisors, Inc. Site 5: 1.5 Base FAR, TOC Tier 3

Residual Land Value Analysis

	Site #5 - Boulevards Small Site (West)						
	Base Scenario (FAR	-					
	1.0:1)	Rate	10% ELI	14% Very Low	23% Low		
Development Program							
Acreage	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6		
Height	44 ft.	64 ft.	64 ft.	64 ft.	64 ft		
Non-Parking Stories	3 stories	5 stories	5 stories	5 stories	5 stories		
Parking Podium	1 stories	1 stories	1 stories	1 stories	1 stories		
Residential Units	45	90	90	90	90		
Market Rate	45	90	82	78	70		
Affordable	0	0	8	12	20		
Average Unit Size	667 SF	667 SF	667 SF	667 SF	667 SF		
Construction Type							
Residential - Rental	Podium	Podium	Podium	Podium	Podium		
Retail	Podium	Podium	Podium	Podium	Podium		
Average Floorplate	15000 ft.	1 5000 ft.	15000 ft.	15000 ft.	1 5000 ft		
FAR	1.50	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00		
GBA	37,500 SF	75,000 SF	75,000 SF	75,000 SF	75,000 SF		
Development Cost and Value	·	·	·				
Total Development Costs per GBA	\$395	\$379	\$361	\$360	\$352		
Capitalized Value per GBA	\$720	\$671	\$606	\$591	\$551		
Community Benefits							
Affordable Housing Levels							
Apartment - Level 1	Very Low (50%)	Very Low (50%)	Extremely Low (30%)	Very Low (50%)	Low (60%)		
Apartment - Level 2	Low (60%)	Low (60%)	Extremely Low (30%)	Very Low (50%)	Low (60%)		
Affordable Housing Percentages							
Apartment - Level 1	0%	0%	10%	14%	23%		
Apartment - Level 2	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		
Condos	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		
Affordable Housing Linkage Fee	Yes	Yes	No	No	No		
Financial Returns							
RLV over Base Scenario		1 27 %	83%	68 %	38%		
Residual Land Value	\$8,112,797	\$14,289,544	\$11,511,657	\$10,567,771	\$8,677,548		
RLV Per Acre	\$14,135,738	\$24,898,101	\$20,057,911	\$18,413,284	\$15,119,760		
Residual Land Value per SF of land	\$325	\$572	\$460	\$423	\$347		
Return on Cost	8.46%	8.10%	7.70%	7.51%	7.19%		
Findings							
FAR over Base Scenario		100%	100%	100%	100%		
Affordable Housing							
Housing Type 1			Extremely Low (30%)	Very Low (50%)	Low (60%)		
Housing Level 1			10%	14%	23%		
Housing Type 2							
Housing Level 2							
Affordable Units per FAR Increase		0.0%	6.7%	9.3%	15.3%		
Feasible?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		